

FEEDBACK SUMMARY

Feed back	Title of Feedback	Assesment Parameters	Inference from feedback
FB-I	Students feedback for faculty assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pointwise evaluation: Below 75% = Need to improve 75% to 80% = Satisfactory 80% to 90% = Good Above 90% = Very good 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inputs about course delivery course coverage, Teaching & learning process, etc Teacher assessment
FB-2	Student feedback form for course outcomes in the curriculum	CO assessment (Indirect assessment)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inputs about Course delivery, course content Suggestions for curriculum improvement
FB-3	Alumni: Feedback /Survey Questionnaire	CO, PO, PEO Mapping, Indirect assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alumni details Suggestions for curriculum improvement
FB-4	Student feedback form for Amenities of JDIET Campus	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Point-wise evaluation: Average grade[AG] (point-wise) : AG < 1.5 = pay attention AG > 2.5 = Achieved 1.5 < AG < 2.5 = satisfactory & need to improve 	Input for improvements in facilities provided to the students.
FB -5	Students feedback for Expert Lecture/Workshop/Seminar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mapping with POs—Indirect assessment Overall assessment [OG] OG < 1.5 = pay attention 1.5 < OG < 2.5 = satisfactory OG > 2.5 = Excellent 	Input for improvements in conduction and selection of events/experts.
FB - 6	Feedback from Experts for Lecture/Workshop/Seminar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Point-wise evaluation: Overall assessment [OG] OG < 1.5 = pay attention 1.5 < OG < 2.5 = satisfactory OG > 2.5 = Excellent 	Input regarding involvement of students in the program and facility/hospitality provided by the Institute.
FB-7	Feedback from Examiner for Project Work	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mapping with POs—Indirect assessment Overall assessment [OG] OG < 1.5 = Need to pay attention 1.5 < OG < 2.5 = satisfactory OG > 2.5 = Good 	Input regarding improvement in quality of the /project work.
FB-8	Employers Feedback/Survey Questionnaire	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mapping with POs—Indirect assessment Overall assessment [OG] OG < 1.5 = Need to pay attention 1.5 < OG < 2.5 = satisfactory OG > 2.5 = Excellent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Input for overall development of students. Suggestions regarding up-gradation in curriculum
FB -9	Parent: Feedback/Survey Questionnaire	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Point-wise evaluation Average grade[AG] (point-wise) AG < 1.5 = pay attention AG > 2.5 = Achieved 1.5 < AG < 2.5 = satisfactory & need to improve 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inputs of stake holders for improvement in work culture Inputs for curriculum up-gradation
FB-10	Feedback from Faculty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Point-wise evaluation Average grade[AG] (point-wise) for facilities provided AG < 1.5 = pay attention AG > 2.5 = Achieved 1.5 < AG < 2.5 = satisfactory & need to improve Average grade[AG] (point-wise) regarding course curriculum AG < 1.5 = pay attention AG > 2.5 = Achieved 1.5 < AG < 2.5 = satisfactory & need to improve 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inputs of faculty for updatation of effective teaching and learning. Suggestions regarding up-gradation in curriculum
FB-11	Teacher Guardian(TG) form & feedback	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grievances to be reported to respective authority 	Inputs regarding departmental and Institutional level grievances

